

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/590,820	SAITO ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Frank M. Lawrence	1797	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Frank M. Lawrence. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Scott Daniels. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 December 2008

**Time:** 12 pm e.t.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

2, 12, 13

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to put the application in condition for allowance, including correcting terms lacking antecedent basis in claims 2, 12, and 13.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)